**THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2137 of 2000**

**DATE: 30-04-2007**

Between :

D.P. Suchinder

… Petitioner

And

Police Station, S.H.O.,
Chikkadapally, Hyderabad and another.

… Respondents

**THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO.2137 of 2000**

**ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed seeking a declaration that the alleged inaction on the part of the respondents in not registering the complaint lodged by the petitioner with regard to the attack made on him on 31-01-2000 at 6.00 p.m. resulting in grievous injuries to him is arbitrary and illegal.

This Court, while directing Rule Nisi, having taken note of the fact that on the basis of the complaint, Crime No.62 of 2000 on the file of the Station House Officer, Chikkadapalli P.S. was already registered, directed the second respondent to entrust the investigation to a different Officer and produce the details of the investigation before this Court.

In compliance with the same, the Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad City filed a counter affidavit dated 25-02-2000 stating as under:

> "It is submitted that in compliance with the directions of this Hon'ble Court dated 16-02-2000 made in WPMP.No.2709 of 2000, in WP.No.2137 of 2000 I called for the file relating to the matter from the Police Station, Chikkadpally and verified the same, which clearly disclosed that there is a pima facie case against the SHO, Chikkadpally for not registering the crime. Therefore, a show cause notice in Charge Memo No.HE-2/PR-3/2000 dt. 22.2.2000 is issued directing the officer to explain why disciplinary action should not be taken against him and departmental proceedings under APCS (CC& A) Rules, 1991 be initiated against the 1st respondent. It is also

submitted that as per the further directions of this Hon'ble Court orders have been passed entrusting the investigation to SHO Musheerabad Police Station directing him to submit compliance repot. As per the directions of this Hon'ble Court, the Inspector of Police, Musheerabad has taken up further investigation by examining several witnesses and prepared other documentary evidence, investigated the matter and also altered Section of Law from 324 to 326, r/w 34 IPC and charge sheet has been filed before the Hon'ble Court of XVII Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad
U/s. 326, 452, 506 r/w 34 IPC, o 24.2.2000.
I submit that this respondent complied with the directions of this Hon'ble Court."

As could be seen from the counter affidavit, on the basis of the complaint lodged by the petitioner, a crime was registered and investigation was already taken up. Hence, no further adjudication is necessary in the writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

G. ROHINI, J.

Date:30-04-2007
KLP